



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Preventing Inadvertent Hypothermia in Patients Undergoing Major Spinal Surgery

A Nonrandomized Controlled Study of Two Different Methods of Preoperative and Intraoperative Warming

Granum, Mia N.; Kaasby, Karin; Skou, Søren T.; Grønkjær, Mette

Published in:
Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.1016/j.jopan.2019.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2019.03.004)

Creative Commons License
CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Granum, M. N., Kaasby, K., Skou, S. T., & Grønkjær, M. (2019). Preventing Inadvertent Hypothermia in Patients Undergoing Major Spinal Surgery: A Nonrandomized Controlled Study of Two Different Methods of Preoperative and Intraoperative Warming. *Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing*, 34(5), 999-1005.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2019.03.004>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Purpose:** To evaluate if a Full Access Underbody blanket (FAU) used pre- and intraoperatively in patients
3 undergoing major spinal surgery prevents hypothermia compared to current practice and to explore patients'
4 experiences of comfort.

5 **Design:** A non-randomized controlled trial.

6 **Method:** Sixty patients were included, 30 in each group. Temperature was assessed at arrival, after connecting to
7 the bladder catheter, at the start and end of surgery. In the FAU group, comfort was evaluated at arrival and after
8 ten minutes of prewarming.

9 **Findings:** The risk of hypothermia at the start of surgery was significantly lower (Relative Risk (95% CI) of
10 0.28; (0.13 to 0.59). Before prewarming, 77% felt comfortable, 20% cold and 3% hot. After prewarming 60%
11 felt comfortable, 37% hot and 3% very hot.

12 **Conclusions:** Patients using FAU had a 72% lower risk of hypothermia at the start of the operation. Attention to
13 thermal comfort during surgery is important.

14

15 **Keywords:**

16 Inadvertent hypothermia, prewarming, Forced Air warming (FAW), Thermal Comfort

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INTRODUCTION

Hypothermia is defined as a core temperature of $< 36^{\circ}\text{C}$.¹ Inadvertent hypothermia of only 1°C increases the intraoperative blood loss and the need for blood transfusions due to a reduced formation of a platelet plug and a reduced clot formation, leading to a combination of platelet and enzyme impairment increasing blood loss by about 20 %.^{2,3} Vasoconstriction occurs when the patient is mildly hypothermic and results in reduced oxygen perfusion, reduced systemic immune activation and reduced tissue healing and thus triples the infection rate.^{2,3,4} Mild hypothermia is defined as a core body temperature between 34°C and 36°C .^{5,6} Previous research has indicated that intraoperative hypothermia influences the risk of myocardial ischemia and triples the risk of morbid cardiac output.² Anesthetics inhibit thermoregulatory control and affect the vasoconstriction potentially causing inadvertent hypothermia and shivering.⁷ Although hypothermia is used deliberately in some surgical procedures to preserve cells, hypothermia is associated with several adverse effects for patients ranging from thermal discomfort to increased morbidity and mortality.¹

Major spinal surgery tends to be lengthy with an increased risk of hypothermia. Patients operated for spinal deformities have an increased risk of hypothermia due to length of operation, degree of exposed skin surface and positioning.⁸ This emphasizes that length of operation, exposed skin surface, positioning, incision length and theatre temperature are considered predictors of hypothermia.^{9, 10, 11} Post-operatively, patients can experience physical discomfort due to hypothermia during surgery.^{2,3} Years after their operation, patients identify the feeling of being cold as their worst hospital experience.^{2,3} Being warm is a substantial factor that influences the patient's experience of care during surgery. A survey among nurse anesthetists on patients' major concerns showed that 71% of the nurses found that being cold was a comfort problem.¹² Physical comfort needs include physiologic mechanisms that are disrupted such as thermoregulation. Preemptive warming is one technical comfort measure that has shown effectiveness in reducing inadvertent hypothermia¹³, and at the same time increased the patient's thermal comfort¹⁴.

Prewarming is defined as warming of peripheral tissues or skin surface before anesthetic induction.^{1,15} Forced air warming (FAW) prevents intraoperative hypothermia effectively and thermal comfort provided by FAW is superior to other warming methods.^{9, 11} Prewarming is effective in preventing redistribution hypothermia, especially one hour after induction of anesthesia.^{2,3,12} It is not known whether the use of a Full Access Underbody (FAU) blanket can prevent hypothermia during major spinal surgery pre- and intraoperatively. For that reason, the aims of this study were to evaluate if a FAU blanket used pre- and intraoperatively can reduce the number of patients having inadvertent hypothermia when undergoing major spinal surgery, as compared to current practice, and to evaluate the thermal comfort of patients using the FAU blanket. The hypothesis is that the FAU blanket will decrease the risk of hypothermia $<36^{\circ}\text{C}$ and increase the patient's experience of thermal comfort.

METHODS

Study design

The study is a non-randomized controlled trial conforming to the TREND statement¹⁶ for reporting non-randomized studies.

Patients and recruitment

The study included 60 patients undergoing major spinal surgery; in this study defined as spine deformity surgery. Inclusion criteria were Danish speaking in order to ensure patients understood questions related to their thermal comfort, and age ≥ 14 years in order to match the included patients in the former quality improvement project. A tympanic temperature $< 38^{\circ}\text{C}$ or $> 36^{\circ}\text{C}$. Patients were excluded if they weighed more than 115 kg (FAU has a 115 kg limit), had a preoperative temperature $> 38^{\circ}\text{C}$ or $\leq 36^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Normothermia is defined as a core temperature range of $36^{\circ}\text{C} - 38^{\circ}\text{C}$),¹ or had cognitive impairment to such an extent that they were not capable of cooperating. Patients were included consecutively at the Clinic for Anesthesiology, Child Diseases, Circulation and Women, Aalborg University Hospital and divided into two groups of 30 patients depending on

time of inclusion. Patients for the control group were included as part of a quality improvement project in the period from September 2012 - February 2013. Patients for the intervention group were included from November 2015 - October 2016. The time span between the two data collection periods was caused by lack of options of how we could prevent inadvertent hypothermia effectively in this group of patients. The acquisition of the FAU blanket challenged the current warming practice and gave ideas as to how the number of patients with inadvertent hypothermia might be reduced.

Study treatments

In the control group, patients were covered with a lint free quilt and a reflective blanket before entering the operating theatre. When the patient was placed on the operating table, the quilt and reflective blanket were placed on top of the patients' legs and arms so as not to interfere with the preoperative preparations consisting of positioning of the patient, x-rays, marking of the patients' back position and ending with the sterile draping. A Full Body Blanket with surgical access (FBBSA) (Bairhugger blanket model 570 - warming unit) and cotton blankets on the upper limbs were applied and FBBSA activated shortly before the start of the operation.

In the intervention group, the FAU blanket (Bairhugger blanket Model 635 – warming unit 775) was placed upon the patient under a lint free quilt before entering the operating theatre. In the operating theatre, the patient was asked to assess his or her thermal comfort. The FAU blanket was then connected to the warming unit and started at 43⁰ C. Prior to induction of anesthesia, patients once again were asked to assess their thermal comfort. The FAU blanket was switched off and placed on the operating table before placing the patient on top of it in prone position. The FAU blanket was turned on and kept running throughout the remaining preoperative preparations only to be switched off momentarily while the sterile drapings were placed. In both the intervention and the control group, the temperature of the warming unit was adjusted either in accordance with the patient's statements of thermal comfort or alterations in the bladder temperature. In both groups, the temperature of the operating theatre was set at 20⁰ C. This temperature is controlled and monitored by a central heating system and

101 is digitally visible in the operating theatre.

102

103 ***Data collection procedure***

104 The patients' tympanic temperature was measured. Anesthesia was induced and maintained with Propofol,
105 Ultiva and Fentanyl and in some cases supplemented by a volatile anesthetic. The patient was intubated and
106 ventilated mechanically. The patients in the control group continued with non-active warming since the FBBSA
107 interfered with the preoperative procedures due to its placement on top of the patient. The patients in the
108 intervention group had the FAU blanket placed beneath them; thus resuming active warming during the
109 continuous preoperative procedures. According to current practice, all patients acquired a bladder catheter after
110 the induction of anesthesia, thus avoiding the pain and discomfort associated with the insertion. Bladder
111 temperature was measured and documented when the bladder catheter was connected to the monitor, at the start
112 and end of the operation. In order to be able to alternate the temperature of the blankets, the bladder temperature
113 was observed on the monitor and reacted upon, yet not documented with 15 minutes intervals throughout the
114 operation and on arrival to the recovery room. Furthermore, the patient's thermal comfort was assessed when
115 using the FAU blanket as active prewarming.

116

117 ***Measurements***

118 Preoperatively, demographic and morphometric characteristics were documented including age, gender, weight,
119 ASA and tympanic temperature. Ear canal temperature (Braun Welch Allyn Thermoscan Pro 4000 $\pm 0,2$ ° C for
120 the thermometer at 35.5 -42.0 ° C) ¹⁷ was measured upon arrival due to its unobtrusive nature and ease of
121 management, ¹⁸ although it is considered inferior compared to other temperature sites. ^{3,19,20} The accuracy of this
122 measurement was, however, of less importance since it was used to in- or exclude patients in the study. A Foley
123 bladder catheter measured the bladder temperature with a temperature sensor (Smiths Medical level 1FC 400/
124 12-14). The bladder temperature is considered suitable for clinical use providing an adequate urine flow. ³
125 Hypothermia was defined as temperature $<36^{\circ}\text{C}$. Patients thermal comfort was assessed with a modified 5-point

126 Likert visual scale consisting of 5 points: 1: very cold, 2: cold, 3: comfortable, 4: hot, 5: very hot. The Likert
127 scale is a psychometric scale of five point that allow individuals to express how much they agree or disagree to a
128 particular statement.²¹ For the purpose of this study, the Likert scale was modified and included digits and
129 smileys so it was easier for patients to see and make the grade.

130

131 ***Data analysis***

132 Potential between-group differences in demographics and treatment-related variables were compared using the
133 two-sample t-test, Pearson's Chi squared test or Fischer's exact test depending on data type and cell numbers.
134 Relative risk (95% CI) and Pearson's Chi squared test or Fischer's exact test were used to compare hypothermia
135 (temperature <36°C) between groups. Thermal comfort was numerically calculated and presented in a diagram.
136 The significance level was set at $P < 0.05$ and all analyses were performed in IBM SPSS Statistics Version 24
137 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY).

138

139 ***Ethics and registration***

140 The study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03193905) and was carried out in accordance with The
141 Helsinki Declaration.²² The patients (and their legal guardians if under 18), were informed about the study prior
142 to surgery and informed about their rights to withdraw from the study at any time. Confidentiality and anonymity
143 was ensured. In accordance with Danish legislation, formal ethics approval of the study was not required since
144 the study was an analysis of current practice and thus had no implications for the treatment of the patient.
145 Authorization by the regional Danish Data Protection Agency Identity number 2015-135 was obtained.

146

147 ***Findings***

148 Table 1 presents the demographic and morphometric characteristics and treatment-related variables of the
149 patients included. Length of stay in the operation theatre ($P = 0.003$) and length of operation ($P = 0.002$) were
150 significantly shorter in the intervention group compared to the control group. There were no other statistically

151 significant differences found between the two groups in demographic or treatment-related variables. When
152 connecting to the bladder catheter, no significant between-group difference was found (RR (95%CI) of 0.20
153 (0.03 to 1.61); Table 2) in the risk of hypothermia with mean (SD) temperatures of 36.3 (0.5) °C in the control
154 group and 36.5 (0.4) °C in the intervention group. At the start of the operation, the risk of hypothermia (<36°C)
155 was significantly lower in the intervention group compared to the control group (RR (95%CI) of 0.28 (0.13 to
156 0.59); Table 2) with mean (SD) temperatures of 35.8 (0.5) °C in the control group and 36.3 (0.3) °C in the
157 intervention group. At the end of the operation, no significant between-group difference was found (RR (95%CI)
158 of 0.33 (0.04 to 3.03); Table 2) in the risk of hypothermia with mean (SD) temperatures of 36.8 (0.7) °C in the
159 control group and 37.1 (0.4) °C in the intervention group. When asked, 77% (23) of the patients in the
160 intervention group indicated that they felt thermally comfortable, 20% (6) cold and 3% (1) hot before
161 prewarming, while 60% (18) felt thermally comfortable, 37% (11) hot and 3% (1) very hot after prewarming.

162

163 ***Discussion***

164 We found that inadvertent hypothermia at the start of the operation was lowered by 72% when using the FAU
165 blanket pre- and intraoperatively compared to the use of passive prewarming and active warming with the
166 FBBSA commencing at the start of the operation. This corresponds partly with Pu et al. who found that
167 significantly less patients with intraoperative hypothermia were observed in a group of patients who were
168 actively warmed with an underbody warming system intraoperatively compared to a passively warmed group of
169 patients.²³ Also, they found no significant alteration in the temperature at the beginning of surgery until 30
170 minutes later, despite differences in warming methods.²³ We found no significant difference in the number of
171 patients with inadvertent hypothermia between the control group and the intervention group when the patients'
172 bladder catheters were connected to the monitor. However, both our groups experienced a small decrease in
173 bladder temperature at the start of the operation. This corresponds with Akhtar et al. who found only a small
174 redistribution hypothermia in patients who were not prewarmed, thus supporting our findings.²⁴ Sessler argues
175 that the initial reduction in core temperature is difficult to treat since it is caused by redistribution of heat from

176 the core to the peripheral tissue due to anesthetic induced vasodilatation and impaired autonomic temperature
177 regulation.² Moola and Lockwood argue that prewarming is effective in preventing redistribution hypothermia,
178 especially one hour after induction of anesthesia.²⁵ Sessler found that prewarming for as little as 30 minutes
179 probably prevents considerable redistribution,² whereas Horn et al. comparing three periods of prewarming at
180 10, 20 and 30 minutes respectively, suggest that prewarming for only 10 or 20 minutes in most cases prevents
181 hypothermia.²⁶ Connelly et al. suggest that 10 minutes prewarming is sufficient in reducing intraoperative
182 hypothermia.²⁷ In our study, patients in the intervention group were actively prewarmed between 2 – 20 minutes
183 and significantly maintained their bladder temperature $\geq 36^{\circ}$ from start and throughout surgery in contrast to the
184 control group. According to Leslie & Sessler, one hour of active forced air warming with 43°C prior to
185 anesthesia is sufficient to counter act a redistribution core temperature drop, but may result in sweating and
186 discomfort for the patient.²⁸ We found that 40 % of the patients felt hot and very hot after between 2 – 20
187 minutes of prewarming with 43°C . The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends
188 that active warming be maintained throughout the intraoperative phase.²⁹ In our study, active warming of the
189 patients in the intervention group were resumed immediately after positioning and draping; thus minimizing the
190 heat loss before the start of the operation in contrast to the patients in the control group where active warming
191 was initiated just prior to the start of the operation. That might suggest active warming to be continued during
192 further preparation of the patient before the start of the operation.

193

194 Length of operation and length of stay in the operating room were significantly lower in the intervention group
195 than in the control group. Length of operation and length of stay in the operating room could have contributed to
196 the significantly lower heat loss in the intervention group. Journaux suggests in a review that patients undergoing
197 longer procedures are in increased risk of hypothermia.³⁰ Lynch et al. argue that to prevent hypothermia in
198 procedures lasting more than one hour it might be advisable to increase the operating room temperature.¹¹
199 However, a recent study showed that the operating room ambient temperature has a negligible effect on core
200 temperature when patients are warmed with forced air.³¹ The effect is larger when the patient is passively

insulated, but with a small magnitude.³¹ Wagner et al problematized the lack of research on the benefits of prewarming as a comfort intervention or anxiolytic mean to decrease patient anxiety.³² Fossum et al. indicated that application of forced warm air preoperatively provides positive feelings of comfort.³³ However, thermoregulation also presents a nursing care challenge.¹⁰ We found that 20 % of the patients felt cold arriving to the operating theatre. This correlates with Wagner's study where patients often mentioned that they felt cold in the preoperative phase of the surgery.³² Most of the patients in our study, however, felt comfortable. After prewarming, the patients no longer felt cold (37% felt hot; 3% felt very hot adding risk of discomfort). This underlines the need for nurses to intervene successfully and effectively pre- and intraoperatively to increase thermal comfort, be aware of, and control the thermal environment. It is possible to adjust the active warming device from 43 °C to either 38 °C. or 32 °C. Further research on patient experiences of thermal comfort is needed.

Limitations

The validity of this study would have been strengthened if the study was a randomized controlled trial as this would have eliminated selection bias and ensured that any known and unknown confounders would have been balanced between groups³⁴. Alongside further standardization of the practical methods applied during surgery between treatment groups, this would have ensured that any potential inconsistencies in time of prewarming and preparation after induction of anesthesia, clothing worn when the patients arrived at the operation theatre and differences in time uncovered during for example catheterization and positioning would have been equally distributed between groups. However, as this were individual and not systematic differences between groups, we have no reason to believe that they would significantly affect the results. Finally, as we did not conduct a sample size calculation a priori, we cannot rule out that significant between-group differences in hypothermia would have also been found when connecting the bladder catheter or at the end of the operation, had we included more patients.

226 ***Conclusion***

227 Patients using FAU blanket were at a 72% lower risk of hypothermia at the start of the operation, suggesting that
228 this might be an appropriate pre- and intraoperative warming method in major spinal surgery. When using the
229 FAU blanket, the time and amount of skin surface receiving forced air warming was extended because the
230 blanket allowed all the preoperative procedures to go on due to its placement underneath the patient; thus
231 minimizing the loss of heat to the environment leaving heat production to exceed heat loss. The comfort scores
232 indicate that nurses should pay careful attention to the patient's thermal comfort and adjust accordingly.

233

234 ***Declaration of interest & funding***

235 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

236 Funding: Søren T. Skou is currently funded by the Independent Research Fund Denmark (DFF – 6110-00045)
237 and the Lundbeck Foundation (both grants are for another project unrelated to the current work).

238

References

1. Hooper VD, Chard R, Clifford T, Fetzee S, Fossum S, et.al. ASPAN's Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline for the promotion of perioperative normothermia: Second Edition. *Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing*. 2010; Vol 25, no 6 (December):346-365
2. Miller RD. Temperature regulation and monitoring. In: Miller RD, Eriksson LI, Fleisher LA, Wiener-Kronish JR, Young WL. *Miller's Anesthesia*, 7th.ed, volume 1. 2010; Churchill Livingstone, an imprint of Elsevier Inc.1533 – 1556
3. Sessler D. Perioperative thermoregulation and heat balance. *Lancet*. 2016; 387:2655 – 64
4. Kurz A, Sessler DI, Lenhardt R. Perioperative normothermia to reduce the incidence of surgical-wound infection and shorten hospitalization. Study of Wound Infection and Temperature Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1996; May 9; 334(19):1209-15
5. Tedesco SN, Korpi FP, Pazdernik VK, Cochran JM. Relationship Between Hypothermia and Blood Loss in Adult Patients Undergoing Open Lumbar Spine Surgery. *The Journal of the American Osteopathic Association*. 2014; Vol 114 No. 11 November: 828-838
6. Rajagopalan S, Mascha E, Na J, Sessler DI. The Effects of Mild Perioperative Hypothermia on Blood Loss and Transfusion Requirement. *Anesthesiology*; 2008; Vol 108; No.1 January
7. Lenhardt R. Body temperature regulation and anesthesia. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*. 2018; Vol. 157: 635-44
8. Görges M, Ansermino MJ, Whyte SD. A retrospective audit to examine the effectiveness of preoperative warming on hypothermia in spine deformity surgery patients. *Pediatric Anesthesia*. 2013; 23:1054-1061
9. Nieh HC, Su SF. Metaanalysis: Effectiveness of forced-air warming for prevention of perioperative hypothermia in surgical patients. *The Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2016; 72(10):2294-2314
10. Leeth D, Mamaril M, Oman KS et al. Normothermia and patient comfort: A comparative study in an outpatient surgery setting. *Journal of Perianesthesia Nursing*. 2010; Vol. 25, No 3 (June):146-151
11. Lynch S, Dixon J, Leary D. Reducing the risk of unplanned peri-operative hypothermia. *AORN Journal*. 2010; vol. 92, No. 5 (November):553-62
12. Wilson L, Kolcaba K. Practical application of comfort theory in the perianesthesia setting. *Journal of Perianesthesia Nursing* 2004; vol. 19 No.3:164-173
13. Kolcaba K, Wilson L. Comfort Care: A Framework for Perianesthesia Nursing. 2002; Vol. 17; No2 (April): 102-114
14. Torossian A, Gervin EV, Geertsens K, Horn B, Velde MVD, Raeder J. Active perioperative patient warming using a self-warming blanket (Barrier Easy Warm) is superior to passive thermal insulation: a multinational, multicenter, randomized trial. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*; 2016; 34: 547-554

15. Poveda VB, Clark AM, Galvaro CM. A systematic review on the effectiveness of prewarming to prevent perioperative hypothermia. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2012; 22: 906-918
16. Jarlais DCD, Lyles C, Crepaz N and the TREND Group. Improving the Reporting Quality of Nonrandomized Evaluations of Behavioral and Public Health Interventions: The TREND Statement. *Am J Public Health*. 2004; 94:361–366); <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1448256/pdf/0940361.pdf> accessed 11 September 2018
17. Braun Welch Allyn. The Braun Thermo Scan PRO 4000 Ear Thermometer. <https://www.welchallyn.com/content/dam/welchallyn/documents/sap-documents/LIT/80010/80010890LITPDF.pdf>; 2006. Accessed 13 September 2018
18. Yeoh WK, Lee JKW, Lim HY, Gan CW, Liang W, Tan KK, Revisiting the tympanic temperature vicinity as core body temperature measurement site. *PLOS ONE*. 2017; Apr17; <https://journals-plos-org.auh.aub.aau.dk/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0174120> ; accessed 13 September 2018
19. Nordås TG, Leiren S, Hansen KS. Can ear temperature measurement be used in a hospital. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen*. 2005; 20 October; 125(20):2763-5
20. Craig JV, Lancaster GA, Taylor S, Williamson PR, Smyth RL. Infrared ear thermometry compared with rectal thermometry in children: a systematic review. *Lancet*. 2002; 360:603-09
21. McLeod, S. A. (2008). Likert scale. Retrieved from: <https://www.simplypsychology.org/likert-scale.html>
22. World Medical Association. World Medical association Declaration of Helsinki- Ethical Principals for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. *JAMA*;2013 ; Vol 310; No. 20 : 2191-94
23. Pu Y, Cen G, Sun J, Gong J, Zhang Y, Zhang M, Wu X, Zhang J, Qiu Z, Fanf F. Warming with an underbody warming system reduces intraoperative hypothermia in patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrointestinal surgery: A randomised controlled study. *International journal of nursing studies*. 2014; 51:181-189
24. Akhtar Z, Hesler BD, Fiffick A, Mascha EJ, Sessler DI, Kurz A, Ayad S, Saager L. A randomized trial of prewarming on patient satisfaction and thermal comfort in outpatient surgery. *Journal of clinical anesthesia*. 2016; September; 33:376-85
25. Moola S, Lockwood C. The effectiveness of strategies for the management and/or prevention of hypothermia within the adult perioperative environment: systematic review. *JB Libr Syst Rev*. 2010; 8(19):752-792
26. Horn EP, Bein B, Böhm R. et al. the effect of short time periods of pre-operative warming in the prevention of peri.-operative hypothermia. *Anaesthesia*. 2012; 67:612-617
27. Connelly L, Cramer E, DeMott Q, Piperno J, Coyne B, Winfield C, Swanberg M. The optimal time and method for surgical prewarming: A comprehensive review of the literature. *Journal of Perianesthesia Nursing*. 2017; Vol. 32 No 3 (June):199-209
28. Leslie K, Sessler D. Perioperative hypothermia in the high-risk surgical patient. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology*. 2003; Vol. 17, No. 4: 485-49

336 29. NICE - National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Hypothermia: Prevention and management in
337 adults having surgery. Clinical guideline; NICE GUIDELINE; updated 2016. Accessed 13 September 2018
338

339 30. Journaux M. Perioperative hypothermia: Implications for practice. Nursing Standard. 2013; vol. 27 No. 45
340 July: 33-38
341

342 31. Pei L, Huang Y, Xu Y, Zheng Y, Sang X, Zhou X, Li S, Mao G, Mascha EJ, Sessler DI. Effects of ambient
343 temperature and forced-air warming on intraoperative core temperature. Anesthesiology. 2018; Vol 128 No
344 5:903-911
345

346 32. Wagner D, Byrne M, Kolcaba K. Effects of comfort warming on preoperative patients. AORN Journal. 2006;
347 Vol. 84, No 3 September: 427-448
348

349 33. Fossum S, Hays J, Henson MM. A comparison study of the effects of prewarming patients in the outpatient
350 surgery setting. Journal of Perianesthesia Nursing. 2001; Vol. 16, No. 3 (June):187-194
351

352 34. Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gøtzsche PC, Devereaux PJ, Elbourne D, Egger M, Altman
353 DG (2010). CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group
354 randomised trials, BMJ 2010;340:c869